

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (Original): A method comprising:

receiving output from a router in a format describing a type of the output;
querying a server selected as a function of the type of the output; and
providing a response from the server to a user.

Claim 2 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the output is a numeric address.

Claim 3 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 2, further comprising:

querying a name server selected as a function of the type of the output;
receiving from the name server a symbolic name associated with the numeric address;
and
providing the symbolic name as the response to the user.

Claim 4 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 2, further comprising:

querying an owner database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receiving from the owner database an identification of an owner associated with the
numeric address; and
providing the identification of the owner as the response to the user.

Claim 5 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 2, further comprising:

querying a router policy database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receiving from the router policy database an identification of one or more router policies
associated with the numeric address; and
providing the identification of the one or more router policies as the response to the user.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 6 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the output is received in an XML-tagged format.

Claim 7 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 1, further comprising rendering the output in a text format different from the format describing a type of the output before querying the server.

Claim 8 (Original): The method of claim 7, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 9 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the output comprises a listing of network peers identified by numeric addresses.

Claim 10 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein querying a server selected as a function of the type of the output comprises invoking a command line interface (CLI) module to issue a query to the server.

Claim 11 (Original): A method for processing an address, the method comprising:
receiving a numeric address in a self-describing format;
querying a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name; and
providing the symbolic name to a user.

Claim 12 (Original): The method of claim 11, wherein the numeric address is received in an XML-tagged format.

Claim 13 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 11, further comprising rendering the numeric address in a text format different from the self-describing format before querying the name server.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 14 (Original): The method of claim 13, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 15 (Original): The method of claim 11, wherein the numeric address identifies a network peer.

Claim 16 (Original): A method for processing an address, the method comprising:
receiving a command in a user interface module;
invoking a system module to process the command;
receiving an XML-tagged IP address from the system module;
querying a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name; and
providing the symbolic name to a user.

Claim 17 (Currently Amended): The method of claim 16, further comprising rendering the IP address in a text format different from an XML-tagged format of the IP address before querying the domain name server.

Claim 18 (Original): The method of claim 17, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 19 (Original): The method of claim 16, wherein the IP address identifies a network peer.

Claim 20 (Currently Amended): A processor-readable medium comprising containing instructions for causing a programmable processor to:
receive output in a format describing a type of the output;
query a server selected as a function of the type of the output; and
provide a response from the server to a user.

Claim 21 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the output is a numeric address.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 22 (Currently Amended): The processor-readable medium of claim 21, further comprising containing instructions for causing the programmable processor to:
query a name server selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the name server a symbolic name associated with the numeric address; and
provide the symbolic name as the response to the user.

Claim 23 (Currently Amended): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, further comprising containing instructions for causing the programmable processor to:
query an owner database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the owner database an identification of an owner associated with the numeric address; and
provide the identification of the owner as the response to the user.

Claim 24 (Currently Amended): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, further comprising containing instructions for causing the programmable processor to:
query a router policy database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the router policy database an identification of one or more router policies associated with the numeric address; and
provide the identification of the one or more router policies as the response to the user.

Claim 25 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the output is received in an XML-tagged format.

Claim 26 (Currently Amended): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, further comprising containing instructions for causing the programmable processor to render the output in a text format different from the format describing a type of the output before querying the server.

Application Number 09/963,806

Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 27 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 28 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the output comprises a listing of network peers identified by numeric addresses.

Claim 29 (Currently Amended): A processor-readable medium comprising ~~containing~~ instructions for causing a programmable processor to:

- receive a numeric address in a self-describing format;
- query a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name; and
- provide the symbolic name to a user.

Claim 30 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 29, wherein the numeric address is received in an XML-tagged format.

Claim 31 (Currently Amended): The processor-readable medium of claim 29, further comprising ~~containing~~ instructions for causing the programmable processor to render the numeric address in a text format different from the self-describing format before querying the name server.

Claim 32 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 31, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 33 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 29, wherein the numeric address identifies a network peer.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 34 (Currently Amended): A processor-readable medium comprising containing instructions for causing a programmable processor to:

- receive a command in a user interface module;
- invoke a system module to process the command;
- receive an XML-tagged IP address from the system module;
- query a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name; and
- provide the symbolic name to a user.

Claim 35 (Currently Amended): The processor-readable medium of claim 34, further comprising containing instructions for causing the programmable processor to render the IP address in a text ASCII format different from an XML-tagged format of the IP address before querying the domain name server.

Claim 36 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 35, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 37 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 34, wherein the IP address identifies a network peer.

Claim 38 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:

- a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
- a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in a format that describes a type of the output,

wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client.

Claim 39 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the output is a numeric address.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 40 (Currently Amended): The routing device of claim 39, wherein the client interface is further configured to:

query a name server selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the name server a symbolic name associated with the numeric address; and
provide the symbolic name as the response to the network router client.

Claim 41 (Currently Amended): The routing device of claim 39, wherein the client interface is further configured to:

query an owner database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the owner database an identification of an owner associated with the numeric address; and
provide the identification of the owner as the response to the user.

Claim 42 (Currently Amended): The routing device of claim 39, wherein the client interface is further configured to:

query a router policy database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the router policy database an identification of one or more router policies associated with the numeric address; and
provide the identification of the one or more router policies as the response to the user.

Claim 43 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the output is provided to the client interface in an XML-tagged format.

Claim 44 (Currently Amended): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the client interface is further configured to render the output in a text format different from the format that describes a type of the output before querying the server.

Claim 45 (Original): The routing device of claim 44, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 46 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the output comprises a listing of network peers identified by numeric addresses.

Claim 47 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the system module is a BGP protocol module.

Claim 48 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the system module is an OSPF protocol module.

Claim 49 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the system module is a firewall filter module.

Claim 50 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, further comprising a management server module communicatively coupled to the client interface.

Claim 51 (Original): The routing device of claim 38, further comprising at least one of a chassis module, a device configuration module, and a routing protocol module.

Claim 52 (Original): A routing device comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a system module to process the operational request and to provide a numeric address to the client interface in a self-describing format,
wherein the client interface is configured to query a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the network router client.

Claim 53 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, wherein the system module is a BGP protocol module.

Claim 54 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, wherein the system module is an OSPF protocol module.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 55 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, wherein the system module is a firewall filter module.

Claim 56 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, further comprising a management server module communicatively coupled to the client interface.

Claim 57 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, further comprising at least one of a chassis module, a device configuration module, and a routing protocol module.

Claim 58 (Original): A routing device comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a system module to process the operational request and to provide an XML-tagged IP address to the client interface,
wherein the client interface is configured to query a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the network router client.

Claim 59 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, wherein the system module is a BGP protocol module.

Claim 60 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, wherein the system module is an OSPF protocol module.

Claim 61 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, wherein the system module is a firewall filter module.

Claim 62 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, further comprising a management server module communicatively coupled to the client interface.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment in Response to Office Action mailed January 4, 2005

Claim 63 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, further comprising at least one of a chassis module, a device configuration module, and a routing protocol module.

Claim 64 (Currently Amended): A system comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client;
a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in a format that describes a type of the output; and
a server to provide a response to the client interface,
wherein the client interface is configured to query the server and to provide the response to the network router client.

Claim 65 (Original): A system comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client;
a system module to process the operational request and to provide a numeric address to the client interface in a self-describing format; and
a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the client interface,
wherein the client interface is configured to provide the response to the network router client.

Claim 66 (Original): A system comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client;
a system module to process the operational request and to provide an XML-tagged IP address to the client interface; and
a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the client interface,
wherein the client interface is configured to provide the response to the network router client.